arXiv:gr-qc/9412032v1 10 Dec 1994

CLASSICAL AD QUANTUM IN COSMOLOGY

J. KOWALSKI–GLIKMAN
Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Wrocław
Pl. Maxa Born 9, 50204 Wrocław, Poland

ABSTRACT

There are several regimes in chaotic inflationary cosmology where some part of the system is classical and some other quantum. I describe how to deal with such systems and how to disentangltheir dynamics into classical behaviour and quantum corrections. I also discuss the conditions for quantum corrections to be small.

Cosmology is one of the most exciting fields of modern high energy physics. On the one hand, it provides the only possible experimental ground for testing theories of high energy particle physics beyond the energy scale of accelerators (see other contributions to this proceedings). On the other hand, because of the simple geometry of realistic cosmological models, it makes it possible to test consistency of physical theories at the energy scales close to the Planck scale of quantum gravity.

The remarkable progress in our understanding of early cosmology (by which I understand the models describing the universe at the energies between 10^{15} and 10^{19}GeV) resulted in wide recognition of the chaotic inflationary model[1] as the (without doubts) the most simple and (possibly) the only realistic model of the early evolution of the universe.

The basic features of the chaotic inflation are the following (see the figure)

1. The universe emerges from the "quantum gravity abyss" with the scale factor and the energy density of order 1.[*]

2. The initial conditions for the inflaton field are such that $\ddot{\phi} \ll V'(\phi)$, $(\partial_i\phi)^2 \ll 1$, $V(\phi) \sim 1$. For the simple massive scalar model with $m^2 \sim 10^{-12}$ (as required to secure the correct magnitude of the spectrum for large scale structures formation), this means that the initial value of the field ϕ, $\phi_0 \sim 10^6$, and ϕ doesn't vary too much in space and time.

3. Subsequently, the field ϕ rolls down the potential well, and the universe is undergoing inflation ($a(t) \sim e^{Ht}$, with H slowly varying function of time.)

4. The inflation ends at the point $\phi \sim 1$ and is followed by very rapid oscillations around the minimum $\phi = 0$, which are interpreted as giving rise to reheating leading to creation of thermal hot matter in the universe (hot big bang.)

---

[*] In what follows I use the Planck units i.e., the energy unit is 10^{19}GeV, the length unit is 10^{-33}cm etc.

Within the inflationary regime, quantum fluctuations emerge superimposed on the classical motion down the potential well. In the interval $1 \leq \phi \leq 10^3$ these fluctuations are small

$$\Delta_Q\phi < \delta_{class}\phi, \tag{1}$$

where $\Delta_Q\phi$ is the effective magnitude of the quantum fluctuations which cummulate during some natural time interval, and $\delta_{class}\phi$ is the classical distance covered by the field ϕ during the same time. These fluctuations give rise to the seeds for large scale formation in the standard way.

However, for $10^3 \leq \phi \leq 10^6$

$$\Delta_Q\phi > \delta_{class}\phi, \tag{2}$$

and the quantum fluctuations dominate the evolution of the inflaton field, giving rise to the extremely interesting global structure of the universe (see the paper[2] and the references therein.)

There are therefore at least three periods during the early evolution of the inflaton field when there is a significant interplay between classical and quantum behaviour of the constituents of the system:

1. Emergence of the universe from the quantum gravity era. It is believed that at the Planck energy scale gravity becomes classical, however the inflaton field may be still very much quantum. How this process looks in details? More importantly, are the initial conditions for the chaotic inflation listed above likely or not?

2. In the subsequent inflationary phase some "part" of the inflaton field is "classical" and some part is "quantum." How the detailed evolution of ϕ looks like?

3. At the end of inflation the universe enters the reheating phase with the classical oscillating inflaton and quantum radiation field. What are details of this process?[†]

This kind of problems has been analyzed in the recent papers[4] and[5]. Following these papers, let me discuss the quantum system consisting of the scale factor a and the inflaton field ϕ. The quantum hamiltonian of this system is the one-dimensional Wheeler–De Witt operator which, at the same time, is a constraint of the theory. For the wave function of the universe we have the equation

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial a}\frac{1}{a}\frac{\partial}{\partial a} - \frac{1}{a^3}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\phi^2} + V(\phi)a^3\right]\Psi(\phi, a) = 0. \tag{3}$$

This equation comrises the whole information obout quantum universe. Observe that there is no time in this equation, and this is a general feature of all theories with general coordinate invariance. (For comprehensive discussion of the time problem in classical and quantum gravity see the article[6].) Thus the wave function of the universe provides us with correlations between the scale factor and the inflaton field. This representation is not convenient for two reasons. First, we would like to be able to trace the time evolution of the universe, and second we would like to see how the classical inflationary universe emerges from the quantum gravity state. Actually, we have a very good idea of what the classical behaviour is. This is just the classical dynamics of the system. Therefore, should we be able to decompose the whole dynamics of the system into

---

[†] Recently there is a renewed intererst in analyzing the reheating process. See[3] and references therein.

$$(classical\ \ motion) = (corrections),$$

we would know that the (*corrections*) are of the quantum origin.

To solve these problems we proceed as follows. First we write the wave function in the form

$$\Psi(a,\phi) = R(a)e^{iS(a)}\chi(a,\phi), \tag{4}$$

with real R and S. This representation can be made unambiguous by imposing certain conditions on χ. Substituting this into Eq. 3 we find two equations, one of whose has the form

$$-i\frac{1}{a}\frac{\partial S}{\partial a}\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial a} - H_M = \ldots, \tag{5}$$

where $H_M = \frac{1}{a^3}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\phi^2} + V(\phi)a^3$ is the matter part of the hamiltonian, where "…" denote other correction terms. It is clear that one can recover the standard Schrödinger equation (with correction terms) for χ, if one introduces the new variable $t(a)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{a}\frac{\partial S}{\partial a}\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial a} \overset{def}{=} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial t}, \tag{6}$$

that is, if

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial a} = -a\dot{a}, \quad \dot{a} = \frac{da}{dt}. \tag{7}$$

Then it can be shown that Eq. 3 is *strictly equivalent* to the following set of equations

$$a\dot{a}^2 =< H_M > +(Q_G + C_E), \tag{8}$$

$$i\dot{\chi} - H_M\chi = C_S, \tag{9}$$

where $< H_M >$ is the quantum average of H_M with respect to χ and Q_G, C_E, C_S are various correction terms corresponding to gravitational quantum correction to Einstein equations, matter quantum corrections to Einstein equations, and matter quantum corrections to the Schrödinger equation, respectively. The exact form of these correction terms can be found in[4].

Let me pause for a moment to discuss the logic which led us to Eqs. 8, 9. Starting from Eq. 3, we represented the wave function in the form Eq. 6, recovered time with the help of Eq. 7, and ended up with the set of equations Eq. 8, 9. Let me stress once again that no approximations have been made during this procedure, Eqs. 8, 9 are completely equivalent to the initial Eq. 3. What we have now is the system of two equations: the Einstein equation and the Schrödinger equation supplemented by quantum correction terms. This system is clearly convenient for our purposes. In the regime where gravity is almost classical and the inflaton field is still in the quantum regime, we can easily see what the corrections are and under which conditions are they small.

So what is the form and relative magnitude of the correction terms? Typically, the quantum gravity corrections Q_G and the terms C_S are proportional to a^{-3}, so they are getting rapidly diluted in the inflationary phase (and in the Friedmann phase as well.) Further, the C_E term is proportional to the energy dispersion, so it is small if quantum fluctuations of the energy are small as compared to the energy itself. It should be noted however that if these fluctuations are not small, there is a huge contribution from these fluctuations to Einstein equations. One expects therefore that if these fluctuations are not small initially, they would prevent the emergence of the classical universe. The next point which should be emphasized is that in the derivation I implicitely assumed that the wave function Ψ is known. This wave function is hard to find, of course, though some approximate solutions are being currently under investigation[7]. On the other hand, one can, for example, neglect all the correction terms, solve the resulting equations, and then check explicitly if for the particular solution the correction terms are small indeed, and then treat them as perturbations.

The procedure leading to Eqs. 8, 9 is very general, of course, and can be applied to many systems. For example, we might be interested in the question concerning how the evolution of the inflaton field during inflation splits into classical and quantum part. To do that, we first neglect the correction term C_S, which is justified by the fact that during inflation this term is rapidly approaching zero. Then we make the polar decomposition of χ

$$\chi(\phi, t) = \rho(\phi, t) e^{i\sigma(\phi,t)}$$

and substitute the result into Eq. 8 with $C_S = 0$. The procedure is described in[4], the result is

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\phi} + \frac{\partial V_M}{\partial \phi} = -\frac{\partial Q_M}{\partial \phi}, \tag{10}$$

where the quantity Q_M encodes all quantum correction and is called the quantum potential. We ended up therefore with the purely classical motion with the only difference that the classical potential $V(\phi)$ is now supplemented by the quantum counterpart Q_M. Thus the evolution of the system is described by purely classical trajectories (in the modified potential, though.) One may wonder what happened to the probabilistic picture of quantum mechanics. This part happens to be hidden in the initial conditions to our evolutionary equations. It turns out that there is a well defined probability measure on the set of these conditions (given by the initial wave function), but once we pick up a single one, the subsequent evolution is completely probabilistic.[‡]

One may wonder if it is necessary to go through the intermediate step, Eq. 9, to obtain Eq. 10. In fact, as it was shown in[5], one can obtain the set of equations

$$\frac{1}{2}a\dot{a}^2 = a^3\left(\frac{\dot{\phi}}{2} + V_M(\phi)\right) + Q \tag{11}$$

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\phi} + \frac{\partial V_M}{\partial \phi} = -\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \phi}, \tag{12}$$

directly from Eq. 3, without making any approximations. This means that we are able to rewrite the equation governing the quantum universe in the equivalent, but much more convenient form, in which the analysis can be made in terms of classical objects and interpretation is much easier.

It is worth mentioning that the procedure described above can be readily extended to cover any bosonic quantum field theory. For example, in the forthcoming paper[8], this procedure is applied to the case of the quantum field theory of massive scalar field on the De Sitter background. It could be also applied to the case of Yang-Mills theory and full quantum theory of gravity.

[‡] For the careful discussion of this point, see[5].

There is one more interesting area where the above procedure can be easily applied. In the reheating phase, we have to do with the classical oscillating inflaton field ϕ and the thermal field ρ. We again encounter the system with the classical part (inflaton) and the quantum subsystem (radiation). Here again one starts with the hamiltonian

$$H = H_\phi + H_\rho + H_{int}$$

and proceeds through the steps similar to those indicated above. Then one can proceed with the analysis of various posible outputs of reheating.

It is interesting to note in this context that assuming that the interaction hamiltonian is of the form $\phi^2 H_I(\rho, t)$, the effective equation for the inflaton field ϕ the leading term looks as follows

$$\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 =< H_I > \phi^2. \tag{13}$$

Above $< H_I >$ is an average computed with respect to the radiation field, and m is the effective mass of the inflaton field. The form of this equation suggests the exciting possibility of creation of the universe in laboratory. By appropriately preparing the radiation field, one may make $< H_I >$ into the source for parametric resonance for ϕ in order to efficiently pump energy into this field. It is worth observing that once the field ϕ reaches the magnitude of order one, and provided that it is sufficiently homogeneous in space, the inflation starts and the region starts expanding rapidly. It happens also the this region covers itself by the horizon so it, in fact, becomes the new universe effectively disconected from our own.

Even thogh the creation of the universe is clearly impossible using modern technology, the future experimental high energy physicist preparing this experiment should not forget to place the announcement "We appologise for all the inconveniences"[9] somewhere in the newly created universe.

References

1. A.D. Linde, *Phys. Lett.* **129B** (1983) 177; see also A.D. Linde, *Particle Physics and Inflationary Cosmology* (Harwood, Chur, 1990) and J. Kowalski-Glikman *Eight Lectures on Cosmology* (Wrocław University Preprint, 1994).
2. A.D. Linde, D.A. Linde, and A. Mezhlumian, *Phys. Rev.* **D49** (1994) 1783.
3. L. Kofman, A.D. Linde, and A.A. Starobinsky, *Reheating After Inflation* hep-th 9405187.
4. J. Kowalski-Glikman and J. Vink, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **7** (1990) 701.
5. J. Vink, *Nucl. Phys* **B369** (1992) 707.
6. C.J. Isham, *Canonical Gravity and the Problem of Time* in *Integrable Systems, Quantum Groups, Field Theories*, L.A. Ibort and M.A. Rodriguez Eds., (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 1993).
7. A. Błaut and J. Kowalski-Glikman, in preparation.
8. J. Kowalski-Glikman, in preparation.
9. D. Adams, *So Long and Thanks for All the Fish.*